

#### PHYSICAL DOCUMENT

#### LPS-n284013-v1

#### [PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE

lacangelo, Rachel **Author:** 

**FILINGS Document Type:** 

LSA(s):

Co-Counsel:

Counsel LSA(s):

**Distribution List:** 

Rose, Robert (ENRD);Reed, Jason (ENRD);True, Michael (ENRD);Clagett, Genevieve (ENRD);Smith, Justin (ENRD);lacangelo, Rachel (ENRD);Woody, Carolyn (ENRD);Clark, Lisa M. (ENRD);Boyd,

Erica (ENRD)

Fileroom: LPS - Main Justice

DJ#:

**Case Name:** 

Court:

Notes:

Ν **Double-Sided:** 

**Received Date:** 7/22/2020

**Urgent:** Ν

Oversize: Ν

**Bound Document:** Ν LAW OFFICES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION

# BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 JUL 1 7 2020

877.534.2590 FAX 310.247.0160

www.brodskysmith.com

**EXECUTIVE OFFICE** 

PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, SUITE 510 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1040 KINGS HIGHWAY NORTH, STE. 601 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034 856.795.7250

Email: esmith@brodskysmith.com

July 13, 2020

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL & ELECTRONIC MAIL

Citizen Suit Coordinator	Administrator
Department of Justice	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Environment and Natural Resources Division	Mail Code: 1101A
Law and Policy Section	1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 7415	Washington, DC 20460
Ben Franklin Station	
Washington, DC 20044-7415	
Regional Administrator	
U.S. EPA, Region 9	
75 Hawthorne Street	
San Francisco, CA 94105	

Re: <u>Veronica Guzman v. Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc.</u>
<u>CACD Case No. 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS</u>

To Whom It May Concern:

We represent Plaintiff Veronica Guzman in the above-referenced action. Please find enclosed a copy of (i) the [Proposed] Consent Decree in the above-referenced action to for review pursuant to the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3) and 40 C.F.R. 135.5; and (ii) a letter from the non-profit entity Council for Watershed Health regarding funds it is to receive under the terms of the [Proposed] Consent Decree in the form of a supplemental environmental project.

Respectfully,

Evan J. Smith

1	Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352)	
2	Ryan P. Cardona (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC	
3	9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900	
	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: 877.534.2590	
4	Fax: 310.247.0160	
5	Email: esmith@brodskysmith.com	
6	rcardona@brodskysmith.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	Sarah T. Sangmeister (SBN 176068) Tara B. Voss (SBN 261967)	
9	Samantha W. Mahoney, (SBN 29350)	
10	PEACOCK PIPER TONG + VOSS LLP 100 W. Broadway, Suite 610	
11	Long Beach, CA 90802	
	Tel: 562.320.8880 Fax: 562.735.3950	
12	Email: ssangmeister@peacockpiper.com	
13	tvoss@peacockpiper.com	
14	smahoney@peacockpiper.com	
15	Attorneys for Defendant	
16	ANNUAL OF A THE	DICTRICT COLUMN
17		S DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
18	WEDONGIA GUZMAN	G: H.G. AV. O.10. OSSES D.GV. GG
	VERONCIA GUZMAN,	Civil Case No.: 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS
19	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE
20	vs.	
21	ASSOCIATED PACIFIC CONSTRUCTORS,	
22	INC.,	(Federal Water Pollution Control Act,
23	Defendant,	33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq.)
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		
	CONSENT DECREE	Civil Case No.: 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS

#### **CONSENT DECREE**

The following Consent Decree is entered into by and between Veronica Guzman ("Plaintiff") and Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc. ("APC"). The entities entering into this Consent Decree are each an individual "Settling Party" and collectively the "Settling Parties."

WHEREAS, Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff is concerned with the environmental health of the Los Angeles Harbor, San Pedro Bay and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed, of which the Los Angeles Harbor and San Pedro Bay are a part, and uses and enjoys the waters of the San Pedro Bay, its inflows, outflows and other waters of the San Pedro Bay Watershed, including the Los Angeles Harbor;

WHEREAS, APC operates a facility that functions as a marine storage area located at APC Berth 193, 325 Yacht St., Wilmington, CA 90744 pursuant to a month-to-month lease agreement, hereinafter referred to by the Settling Parties as the "Facility;"

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that her use and enjoyment of these waters are negatively affected by the pollution allegedly caused by the operations at the Facility;

WHEREAS, APC denies that there have been any discharges of pollution from its Facility;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that she is acting in the interest of the general public to prevent pollution in these waterways, for the benefit of their ecosystems, and for the benefits of all individuals and communities who use these waterways for various recreational, educational, and spiritual purposes;

WHEREAS, the discharges from the Facility are regulated by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES"), General Permit No. CAS000001, [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Order No. 92-12-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ ("1997 Storm Water Permit"), and as amended by Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ ("IGP"), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA");

WHEREAS, the Facility is listed as operating under SIC Code 4491, relating to Marine Cargo Handling. Defendant has had coverage for the Facility under the California Industrial General Permit since at least July 28, 2009, under the issued WDID No. 4 19I022242. Defendant reapplied for coverage under the 2015 Industrial Stormwater Permit on April 9, 2015, and was granted the continued use of its previously issued WDID No. The July 28, 2009, and April 9, 2015 "Notice of Intents" for the Facility to

comply with the terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit list "Associated Pacific Constructors Inc" and "APC berth 193" as the Operator and Facility names, respectively;

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2019, Plaintiff sent APC, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), EPA Region IX, the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board"), and the Regional Water Quality Control Board – Los Angeles Region ("Regional Board") a notice of intent to file suit ("Notice Letter") under Sections 505(a) and (b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a) and (b). The Notice Letter alleged violations of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a) and violations of the 1997 Storm Water Permit and the IGP at the APC Facility<sup>1</sup>;

WHEREAS, on June 26, 2019, Plaintiff filed a complaint against APC in the United States District Court, Central District of California (Case No. 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS), alleging violations of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), and violations of the Storm Water Permit at the APC Facility ("Complaint");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges APC to be in violation of the substantive and procedural requirements of the 1997 Storm Water Permit, the IGP and the Clean Water Act with respect to the APC Facility;

**WHEREAS**, on September 18, 2019, APC filed an answer in response to the Complaint, denying all allegations in the Notice Letter and Complaint relating to the APC Facility ("Answer");

**WHEREAS**, on September 30, 2019, Plaintiff's expert environmental consultant conducted an inspection of the Facility;

WHEREAS, within 45 days following the entry of this Consent Decree, APC has agreed to upload a revised SWPPP to the Water Boards Storm Water Multiple Application & Report Tracking System ("SMARTS"), containing additional BMPs outlined herein and designed to bring the Facility into further compliance with the IGP and based upon the findings in the September 30, 2019 inspection of the Facility and subsequent discussion and agreement between the Parties and their respective consultants;

WHEREAS, APC continues to deny all allegations in the Notice Letter and Complaint relating to the APC Facility;

Consent Decree

For purposes of this Consent Decree, the NPDES permit and any amendments thereto in effect at the time of APC's required compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be referred to as "the Industrial General Permit" or "IGP."

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and APC have agreed that it is in the Settling Parties' mutual interest to enter into a Consent Decree setting forth terms and conditions appropriate to resolving the allegations set forth in the Complaint without further proceedings; and

WHEREAS, all actions taken by APC pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be made in compliance with all applicable federal and state laws and local rules and regulations.

# NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE SETTLING PARTIES AND ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a);
- 2. Venue is appropriate in the Central District of California pursuant to Section 505(c)(1) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because the APC Facility is located within this District;
- 3. Although APC denies the allegations set forth in the Notice and Complaint, for the purpose of this Consent Decree, the Parties stipulate that the Complaint states facts that, if true, would be sufficient to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Section 505(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1);
- 4. Plaintiff has alleged facts that if true would be sufficient to demonstrate her standing to bring this action;
- 5. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree for the life of the Consent Decree, or as long thereafter as is necessary for the Court to resolve any motion to enforce this Consent Decree.

#### I. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>

It is the express purpose of the Settling Parties entering into this Consent Decree to further the objectives set forth in the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251, et seq., and to resolve those issues alleged by Plaintiff in her Complaint. Specifically, APC agrees to comply with the Receiving Water Limitation VI.A., in the IGP which provides in part that dischargers "shall ensure that industrial storm water discharges ... do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of any applicable water quality standards in any affected receiving water,"; the Effluent Limitation V.A., of the IGP which provides in part that dischargers "shall implement [Best Management Practices ("BMPs] that comply with the BAT/BCT requirements of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the [IGP] to reduce or prevent discharges of pollutants in their storm water discharge in a manner that reflects best industry practice considering technological availability and economic practicability and achievability"; and the Monitoring, Sampling, and Analysis Requirements found in § XI of the IGP which provides in part that dischargers "shall collect and analyze storm water samples from two (2) [Qualifying] Storm Events ("QSEs")] within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31), and two (2) QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30)." The IGP defines a QSE as "a precipitation event that: a. Produces a discharge for at least one drainage area; and, b. Is preceded by 48 hours with no discharge from any drainage area." APC shall develop and implement those BMPs identified in Section III(A) of this Agreement. Nothing herein shall be interpreted as an admission by APC that it has previously failed to comply with these or any other requirements of the CWA or the IGP.

#### II. AGENCY REVIEW AND TERM OF CONSENT DECREE

- A. Agency Review and Comment. Plaintiff shall submit this Consent Decree to the United States Department of Justice and the EPA (collectively "Federal Agencies") within three (3) days of the final signature of the Settling Parties for agency review consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 135.5. The agency review period expires forty-five (45) days after receipt by both agencies, as evidenced by written acknowledgement of receipt by the agencies or the certified return receipts, copies of which shall be provided to APC if requested. In the event that the Federal Agencies object to entry of this Consent Decree, the Settling Parties agree to meet and confer to attempt to resolve the issue(s) raised by the Federal Agencies within a reasonable amount of time.
- B. Effective Date. The term "Effective Date" as used in this Consent Decree shall mean the day the Court enters this Consent Decree.
- C. Termination Date. This Consent Decree shall terminate two (2) years after the Effective Date ("Termination Date"), or at such time as APC ceases to be subject to the IGP and APC: 1) files all necessary and appropriate submissions regarding the same to the State Board and/or the Regional Board; and 2) provides notice of such filing to Plaintiff, unless there is a prior ongoing, unresolved dispute regarding APC's compliance with this Consent Decree.

27

28

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 Α. **Storm Water Pollution Reduction Measures** 

- 1. The storm water pollution control measures required by this Consent Decree shall be designed and operated to manage storm water discharges, through full compliance with the IGP.
- 2. Commencing from the Effective Date through the termination date, APC shall engage in the following activities to achieve compliance with the Permit and this Consent Decree:
  - APC will appoint a Qualified Industrial Storm Water Practitioner ("QISP") within sixty (a) (60) days after the Effective Date;
  - (b) Certify and submit to Plaintiff and the RWQCB via SMARTS the QISP's identification number, name, and contact information (telephone number, e-mail address).
- 3. In addition to the activities described in Sections III.A.2(a) above, APC will assure the incorporation of the following BMPs, as more fully described in APC's SWPPP, which shall be implemented at the Facility, the boundaries of which are outlined on the APC's Facility Site Map accompanying the SWPPP ("Site Map"). The Parties agree that the SWPPP may be modified from time to time as more fully described in this Consent Decree. In the event of a modification to the SWPPP or Facility Site Map during the term of this Consent Judgment, APC will provide a copy of the revised exhibits to Plaintiff in the manner described herein, and to the RWOCB via SMARTs.

#### **BMPs** (a)

- (i) Protect temporary storage from stormwater exposure (e.g., roll-off bins and containers from recyclers);
- (ii) Perform repair and/or maintenance work to minimize or resolve any oil leaks from equipment and vehicles operated at the Facility;
- (iii) Shore up the borders along the water-side of the Facility to minimize or prevent any potential stormwater runoff into the receiving water;
- (iv) Remove peeling and flaking paint from structure.
- Within forty-five (45) days after the Effective Date, APC shall revise the SWPPP for the APC Facility to include any BMPs required by the Consent Decree and comply with all provisions of the Permit.

- 5. Throughout the term of this Consent Decree, APC shall submit any SWPPP revisions made pursuant to the requirements of this Section III.A to Plaintiff in the manner described herein.
- B. Numeric Action Level ("NAL") for Discharges from the APC Facility. APC acknowledges that Numeric Action Levels ("NALs") in the IGP are applicable to the Facility. APC will include the SIC Codes and corresponding parameters in its revised SWPPP (consistent with Section III(a)(4) herein), and will continue to act in conformity with the IGP and the SWPPP for the Facility, as may be amended from time to time.

## 1. Exceedance Response Actions (ERAs)

a. APC acknowledges that it is required to comply with the Exceedance Response Action requirements of the permit as stated in IGP § XII.

#### C. Sampling and Analysis

- 1. Within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date, APC shall review its plan for monitoring all storm water discharges from the APC Facility that meet the requirements of this Consent Decree and Section XI of the Permit, and incorporate the same into its SWPPP.
- 2. During the life of this Consent Decree, APC shall collect samples of any QSE, as defined in the IGP and as required by the IGP. However, nothing herein shall require APC to conduct sampling in quarters when a QSE, as defined by the IGP, does not occur or if sampling is not otherwise required by the IGP.
  - 3. APC shall comply with the analytical methods as required by Section XI.B of the IGP.
- 4. APC shall request that results of all sample analyses required by this Consent Decree be reported to it within thirty (30) days of laboratory receipt of the sample.
- 5. During the term of the Consent Decree, APC will give notice to Plaintiff of the filing of any reports or other documents containing the complete laboratory results of samples collected as required by this Consent Decree concurrently with the posting of the same on SMARTS.
- **D. Visual Observations**. During the life of this Consent Decree, APC shall conduct and document visual observations pursuant to Section XI.A of the IGP and as more fully described in the APC SWPPP.
- E. Annual Comprehensive Facility Compliance Evaluation. APC shall give notice, pursuant to Paragraph VII.E. hereof, to Plaintiff when APC submits an Annual Comprehensive Facility Compliance

Evaluation ("Annual Evaluation") to the State Board no later than July 15 of each year during the term of this Consent Decree. The Annual Evaluation shall contain all information required by the IGP and/or the SWPPP.

#### IV. MONITORING AND REPORTING

#### A. Site Inspections.

- 1. Once during each year of the life of this Consent Decree, Plaintiff may conduct an inspection of the Facility up to forty-five (45) days prior to the Termination Date. Up to three (3) of Plaintiff's representatives may attend the site inspection. The site inspection shall occur during normal business hours. Plaintiff and APC shall work in good faith to select a mutually acceptable date for the inspection, which will be scheduled at least ten (10) business days in advance. APC's personnel or contractors may accompany Plaintiff's representative(s) throughout the inspection.
- 2. Plaintiff shall provide APC with any comments regarding the Site Inspection within seventy-two (72) hours of the completion thereof. Said comments shall be prepared, signed and certified by Plaintiff's designated QISP. APC shall respond to Plaintiff's comments within thirty (30) days of the date on which they are received; however, APC is not obligated to respond to any comments regarding the Site Inspection received after seventy-two (72) hours has passed.
- B. Compliance Monitoring and Oversight. APC shall make a one-time payment of Dollars (\$500) to compensate Plaintiff's Counsel for costs and fees to be incurred for monitoring APC's compliance with this Consent Decree. Payment shall be made within fourteen (14) business days of the Effective Date payable to "Brodsky & Smith, LLC" via U.S. Mail.
- C. APC Document Provision. During the life of this Consent Decree, within ten (10) days, APC shall give notice to Plaintiff of all documents related to storm water quality at the APC Facility that are submitted to the Regional Board via the SMARTS system. This Consent Decree shall not require APC to disclose any information or documents subject to the Attorney Client Privilege or the Attorney Work Product doctrine.

## V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT & REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION FEES & COSTS

A. Environmental Project. To remediate the alleged environmental harms resulting from non-compliance with the 1997 Storm Water Permit and IGP alleged in the Complaint, APC agrees to make a

Consent Decree

payment of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) to the "Council for Watershed Health" and mailed to The Council for Watershed Health attention Eileen Alduenda, Executive Director, 177 E. Colorado Blvd., Ste. 200, Pasadena, CA 91105 to fund the Monitoring the Impacts of Stormwater Infiltration on Groundwater Quality program, dedicated to the study and evaluation of the impacts to groundwater quality from infiltrating stormwater throughout Los Angeles County. The payment shall be made within fourteen (14) business days of the Effective Date.

**B.** Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs. APC shall pay a total of Twenty Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$22,500.00) to "Brodsky & Smith, LLC" for their investigation fees and costs, expert/consultant fees and costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred as a result of investigating and preparing the lawsuit and negotiating this Consent Decree. Payment shall be made payable to "Brodsky & Smith, LLC" within fourteen (14) business days of the Effective Date via U.S. Mail.

## VI. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

- A. Continuing Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until the Termination Date defined above for the purposes of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and adjudicating all disputes among the Parties that may arise under the provisions of this Consent Decree, unless a Party files and is granted a timely motion requesting an extension of time for the Court to retain jurisdiction. The Court shall have the power to enforce this Consent Decree with all available legal and equitable remedies, including contempt.
- **B.** Meet and Confer. A Party to this Consent Decree shall invoke the dispute resolution procedures of this Section by notifying the other Party in writing of the matter(s) in dispute. The Settling Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith (either telephonically or in person) in an attempt to resolve the dispute informally over a period of ten (10) days from the date of the notice. The Parties may elect to extend this time in an effort to resolve the dispute without court intervention.
- C. Dispute Resolution. If the Parties cannot resolve a dispute by the end of meet and confer informal negotiations, then the parties shall attempt to settle the dispute through mediation by a single mediator provided by JAMS pursuant to JAMS Streamlined Arbitration Rules and Procedures in effect at the time the act or acts being disputed occurred.
- **D.** Burden of Proof. In any dispute resolution proceeding, the Party invoking the dispute resolution

procedures provided herein shall have the burden of demonstrating that the other Party has failed to meet its obligations as set forth herein.

**E.** Enforcement Fees and Costs. If formal dispute resolution is not successful, then the parties may file a motion to enforce the settlement with the Court. The litigation costs and fees incurred in prosecuting a motion to enforce such shall be awarded to the prevailing party.

#### VI. MUTUAL RELEASE OF LIABILITY AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE

- A. Plaintiff's Public Release of Claims. This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Plaintiff, on her own behalf, and on behalf of the public and in the public interest, and APC, and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, if any (collectively "Releasees"), and shall have a preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of the CWA that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice. Nothing in this Consent Decree waives the rights of the United States to enforce its rights under Federal Law.
- **B.** Plaintiff's Release of Additional Claims. As to Plaintiff for and in her individual capacity only, this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that she shall not be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing were or could have been asserted by her against APC or the Releasees based on the facts alleged in the Complaint and/or the Notice, whether or not based on actions committed by APC.

#### C. Waiver of Rights Under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code

- 1. Plaintiff acting in her individual capacity waives all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against APC, and the Releasees, (referred to collectively in this Section as the "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, Plaintiff waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon him with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:
  - A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS

- 2. Plaintiff understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that even if Plaintiff suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the facts in the Complaint, Plaintiff will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Releasees.
- **D.** APC's Release of Plaintiff. APC hereby waives any and all claims it may have as of the date of this agreement against Plaintiff, her attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and her attorney and other representatives in the course of prosecuting the Claims. Nothing in this Consent Decree waives the rights of the United States to enforce its rights under Federal Law.
- E. Parties' Release. Unless specifically provided for in this Consent Decree, the Parties, on their own behalf and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, employees, and each of their successors and assigns, and their agents, and other representatives release all persons including, without limitation, all other Parties to this Consent Decree (and each of their direct and indirect parent and subsidiary companies and affiliates, and their respective current and former officers, directors, members, employees, shareholders, and each of their predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each of their agents, attorneys, consultants, and other representatives) from any attorneys' fees or expenses related to the resolution of this matter.
- F. Nothing in this Consent Decree limits or otherwise affects any Party's right to address or take any position that it deems necessary or appropriate in any formal or informal proceeding before the State Board, Regional Board, EPA, or any other administrative body on any other matter relating to APC's compliance with the IGP or the Clean Water Act occurring or arising after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree.

#### VII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

A. No Admission of Liability. Neither this Consent Decree, the implementation of additional or modified BMPs, nor any payment pursuant to the Consent Decree shall constitute or be construed as a finding, adjudication, admission, or acknowledgment of any fact, law, or liability, nor shall it be construed as an admission of violation of any law, rule, or regulation. APC maintains and reserves all defenses it

	11			
1	may ł	nave to	any alleged violations that may be raised in the future.	
2	В.	Con	struction. The language in all parts of this Consent Decree shall be construed according to	
3	its pla	ain an	d ordinary meaning, except as to those terms defined in the IGP, the Clean Water Act, or	
4	specifically herein.			
5	C.	Cho	ice of Law and Venue. The laws of the United States shall govern this Consent Decree, with	
6	venue proper only in the Central District of California.			
7	D.	D. Severability. In the event that any provision, paragraph, section, or sentence of this Consent		
8	Decree is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be			
9	adversely affected.			
10	E.	Cor	respondence and Notices. Any and all notices and/or correspondence between the Parties	
11	provided for or permitted under this Consent Decree shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent			
12	by:			
13		1.	First-class (registered or certified) mail return receipt requested; or	
14		2.	Overnight or two-day courier; or	
15		3.	By email with confirmed receipt only (thus at the risk of the email sender); on any Party	
16	by the other Party to the following addresses:			
17		If to	Plaintiff:	
18			n J. Smith, Esquire dsky & Smith, LLC	
19		Two	Bala Plaza, Suite 510	
20		T: 8	Cynwyd, PA 19004 377.354.2590	
21		Ema	il: esmith@brodskysmith.com	
22		If to	APC:	
23			h T. Sangmeister, Esquire	
24			COCK PIPER TONG + VOSS LLP W. Broadway, Suite 610	
25			g Beach, CA 90802	

Any change of address or addresses shall be communicated in the manner described above for giving

26

27

28

Tel:

562.320.8880

562.735.3950

Email: ssangmeister@peacockpiper.com

notices.

- **F.** Counterparts. This Consent Decree may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one original document. Telecopy, email of a .pdf signature, or facsimile copies of original signature shall be deemed to be originally executed counterparts of this Consent Decree.
- G. Modification of the Consent Decree. Except as otherwise provided herein, this Consent Decree, and any provisions herein, may not be changed, waived, discharged, or terminated unless by a written instrument, signed by the Settling Parties, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon an entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. If any Settling Party wishes to modify any provision of this Consent Decree, the Settling Party must notify the other Settling Party in writing at least twenty-one (21) days prior to taking any step to implement the proposed change.
- H. Full Settlement. This Consent Decree contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.
- I. Integration Clause. This is an integrated Consent Decree. This Consent Decree is intended to be a full and complete statement of the terms of the Consent Decree between the Settling Parties and expressly supersedes any and all prior oral or written Consent Decrees, covenants, representations, and warranties (express or implied) concerning the subject matter of this Consent Decree.
- J. Authority of Counsel. The undersigned representatives for Plaintiff and APC each certify that he/she is fully authorized by the party whom he/she represents to approve this Consent Decree as to form.
- **K.** Authority. APC certifies that its undersigned representative is fully authorized to enter into this Consent Decree, to execute it on behalf of APC, and to legally bind APC to its terms.
- L. Agreement to be Bound. The Settling Parties, including any successors or assigns, agree to be bound by this Consent Decree and not to contest its validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce its terms.

1	VIII. <u>COURT APPROVA</u>	<u>L</u>		
2	The Parties hereby respectfully request that the Court promptly approve and enter this Consent Decree			
3	Upon entry of this Consent Decree, Plaintiff and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing o			
4	trial on the allegations of the	Complaint and Notice which are at issue in this action. If this Consent		
5	Decree is not approved by the	e Court, it shall be of no force and effect, and it may not be used in any		
6	proceeding for any purpose.			
7	IN WITNESS WHER	REOF, the undersigned have executed this Consent Decree as of the date		
8	first set forth below.			
9	SO AGREED AND APPRO	VED AS TO CONTENT		
0	Dated: 5/7/2020	PLAINTIFF		
1		L.M.		
2		Veronica Guzman		
3	Dated:	ASSOCIATED PACIFIC CONSTRUCTORS, INC.		
4		Ву:		
15		Paul E. Gillen		
6				
17				
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM	· )		
9	Dated: 6/3/2000	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC		
20		By:		
21		Evan J. Smith (SBN:242352) Attorneys for Plaintiff		
22				
23	Datade	PEACOCK PIPER TONG + VOSS LLP		
24	Dated:			
25		By: Sarah T. Sangmeister (SBN 176068)		
26		Attorneys for Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc.		
27				
28	<sub>///</sub>			
	Consent Decree	14 Civil Case No. 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS		
	}			

VIII. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>			
The Parties hereby respectfully request that the Court promptly approve and enter this Consent Decree.			
Upon entry of this Consent Decree, Plaintiff and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or			
trial on the allegations of the Complaint and Notice which are at issue in this action. If this Consent			
Decree is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force and effect, and it may not be used in any			
proceeding for any purpose.			
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Consent Decree as of the date			
first set forth below.			
SO AGREED AND APPROVED AS TO CONTENT			
Dated:	PLAINTIFF		
	Veronica Guzman		
Dated: <u>5/7/2020</u>	ASSOCIATED PACIFIC CONSTRUCTORS, INC.		
	By: Paul C. Gillen		
	Paul E. Gillen		
APPROVED AS TO FORM			
	DDODCLV & CMITH I I C		
Dated.	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC		
	By: Evan J. Smith (SBN:242352)		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
Dated: 6/1/20	PEACOCK PIPER TONG + VOSS LLP		
	By: and the corner		
	Sarah T. Sangmeister (SBN 176068) Attorneys for Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc.		
	-		
  ///			
Consent Decree	14 Civil Case No. 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS		
	The Parties hereby respectfully re Upon entry of this Consent Decret trial on the allegations of the Conserved by the Conserved by the Conserved by the Conserved is not approved by the Conserved for any purpose.  IN WITNESS WHEREOUS SO AGREED AND APPROVED Dated:  Dated: 5/7/2020  APPROVED AS TO FORM  Dated: 6/1/20		

1				
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
3	Date:			
4		The Honorable	R. Gary Klausner	
5		Central Distric	District Court Judge et of California	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12 13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 27 \end{bmatrix}$				
28				
	Consent Decree	15	Civil Case No. 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-S	SS



10 July 2020

Via Email: Matthew.Oakes@usdoj.gov

US Department of Justice Citizen Suit Coordinator Environment and Natural Resources Division Law and Policy Section P.O. Box 7415 Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-07415

RE: Veronica Guzman vs Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc. Civil Case No 2:19-cv-05559-RGK-SS

Dear Mr. Oakes:

The Council for Watershed Health has read the proposed consent decree for the above referenced citizen suit. The Council for Watershed Health (CWH) understands that as a result of the entry of the proposed consent decree by the Court, CWH shall receive funds in the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) for use on a Supplemental Environmental Project. CWH is recognized as an exempt entity under section 501 (c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Any monies CWH receives under the consent decree will be used only for the purposes specified in the consent judgement to alleviate environmental harms done to the Los Angeles River and its tributaries. No money will be used for lobbying purposes. Once the Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) is completed, CWH will submit to the United States District Court Central District of California and to the parties a letter describing how the SEP funds were spent.

Attached is a project description which details how the funds will be spent.

If any additional information is needed, please contact me via email at eileen@watershedhealth.org.

Sincerely,

Eileen Alduenda Executive Director

CC:

Evan J. Smith – esmith@brodskysmith.com

Ryan P. Cardona – rcardona@brodskysmith.com

Sarah T. Sangmeister – ssangmeister@peacockpiper.com

Tara B. Voss – tvoss@peacockpiper.com

Samantha W. Mahoney - smahoney@peacockpiper.com



The Council for Watershed Health ("CWH") is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to advance the health and sustainability of our region's watersheds, rivers and habitats - both in natural areas and urban neighborhoods. We do this through science-based research, education and inclusive stakeholder engagement.

#### **Elmer Paseo Refresh and Replant**

Almost a decade ago, CWH managed the implementation of the Elmer Avenue Neighborhood Retrofit (Elmer Avenue) demonstration project in the Sun Valley neighborhood of the City of Los Angeles. Elmer Avenue is a neighborhood scale retrofit project that incorporates stormwater management strategies. It was completed for the neighborhood street and residences in 2010 and the alley in 2012. The strategies completed on both private and public property mimic natural processes to manage stormwater runoff and result in multiple benefits. These benefits include the infiltration of runoff that augments local water supplies and improved water quality of runoff that flows to the Los Angeles River (LA River). Elmer Avenue is one of the region's first multi-benefit "green street" projects. It manages runoff from 50 acres upstream and achieved the goals of reducing flooding, increasing stormwater runoff quality, educating residents and stakeholders on local watershed issues, and increased green space and habitat. Upstream runoff managed at the site includes runoff from industrial facilities and from roadways serving traffic from industrial facilities adjacent to the residential neighborhood that would otherwise reach the LA River without being treated or infiltrated.

The Elmer Avenue Neighborhood Retrofit Project (Elmer Paseo) included the redesign of a neglected alley at the southern end of Elmer Avenue. The Elmer Paseo was redesigned to manage 10 acres of runoff from the surrounding neighborhood. Elmer Paseo manages dry and wet weather runoff with design strategies that mimic natural processes. Elmer Paseo features a vegetated bioswale and a permeable concrete pathway that captures and directs runoff to a subsurface infiltration trench. The original plant palette featured 100% plants native to the surrounding area. The redesigned alley captures, cleans, and infiltrates runoff year-round; reduces local flooding; improves walkability and safety; increases neighborhood green space; provides habitat for pollinators; and is used to educate Sun Valley Magnet students and other students on watershed stewardship.

Because this demonstration landscape is now 8 years old and requires maintenance, it shall be refreshed and replanted to ensure it continues to provide benefits to the Elmer Avenue residents and the LA River Watershed. Over the years, sediment has filled in the swale and runoff has carried weed seed that has germinated and grown to displace many of the native plants.

We propose to assemble the original design and construction team and project partners to facilitate a review and assessment of the successes and challenges of Elmer Paseo. The team will develop a set of improvement and enhancement recommendations, and will ultimately regrade and replant the vegetated bioswale to ensure it provides water quality benefits in the LA River Watershed for years to come. If feasible, we'll also provide 1-2 tours for Sun Valley Magnet School teachers and their students.

This SEP disbursement will be used to support the assessment of the Elmer Avenue Neighborhood Retrofit demonstration project, the project enhancement plan, and the enhancement implementation (e.g. swale sediment and weed removal). This SEP will be supplemented by approximately \$60,000 in other SEP funding and approximately \$10,000 in non-SEP funding.

BRODSKY & St Two Bala Plaza, Suite 510 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004



X-RAYED

JUL 16 2020

DOJ MAILROOM

EXECUTIVE OFF

USPS CERTIFIED MAIL

